A network of interconnected limbic forebrain cell groups, including the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and hippocampal formation (HF), is known to shape adaptive responses to emotionally stressful experiences, including output of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. While disruption of limbic HPA-inhibitory systems is implicated in stress-related psychiatric and systemic illnesses, progress in the field has been hampered by a lack of a systems-level understanding of the organization that provides for this regulation. Using rats, we first localized cell groups afferent to the paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVH) (the initiator of HPA responses to stress) whose engagement following acute (30 min) restraint was diminished by excitotoxin lesions of the ventral subiculum, a component of the HF. This identified a candidate relay for imparting HF influences in a circumscribed portion of the anterior bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (aBST), which we previously identified as a GABAergic relay subserving mPFC inhibition of the stress axis. Anatomical tracing experiments then indicated that extrinsic projections from HF and mPFC converge onto regions of aBST that contain neurons that are both stress sensitive and PVH projecting. Two final experiments provided evidence that (1) HPA-inhibitory influences of mPFC and HF are additive and (2) aBST plays a more prominent inhibitory role than ventral subiculum over stress-induced HPA endpoints. These findings support the view that stress-inhibitory influences of mPFC and HF are exerted principally via convergence onto a common relay, as opposed to a serial, parallel, or more complex multisynaptic network.

Since the recognition that that GC receptor expression is enriched throughout the limbic forebrain, the HF (McEwen et al., 1968), in particular, has received much attention as a region centrally positioned to inhibit emotional stress-induced HPA activation, via GC receptor-mediated negative feedback (Jacobson and Sapolsky, 1991; McEwen, 2001). Importantly, prolonged stress exposure or exogenous GC administration can adversely impact hippocampal structure and function (Sapolsky et al., 1985; Watanabe et al., 1992) associated with dysregulation of the HPA axis (Ottenweller et al., 1989; Herman et al., 1995; Willner, 1997). While these observations have fostered the popular hypothesis that withdrawal of hippocampal HPA-inhibitory influences contributes to the etiology of depression and other stress-related psychopathologies (Carroll et al., 1976; Bremner et al., 1995; Sapolsky et al., 1996; Sheline et al., 1996), progress has been hampered by the fact that the underlying neural substrates are poorly understood.

The final common pathway for stress-induced HPA axis activation is the paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVH), which houses corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF)-expressing neurons that regulate GC secretion (Antoni, 1986). Stress-inhibitory influences of HF are not imposed by direct innervation of PVH; instead, relevant extrinsic projections arise predominantly from the ventral subiculum (vSUB), using the excitatory neurotransmitter, glutamate (Swanson and Cowan, 1977; Walaas and Fonnun, 1980; Cullinan et al., 1993). These may target one or more GABAergic intermediaries [bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BST); medial preoptic area; hypothalamic regions] that may be capable of imparting HPA-inhibitory influences emanat-
ing from vSUB (Swanson and Cowan, 1977; Cullinan et al., 1993; Herman et al., 2003), though others have postulated an involvement of complex, multisynaptic pathways for hippocampal modulation of the stress axis (Feldman and Conforti, 1981; Feldman et al., 1987). The present study sought to elucidate the neural systems that underlie this regulation.

An initial experiment identified a candidate for imparting HF influences in the same aspects of the anterior BST (aBST) that we previously identified as comprising a GABAergic relay subserving mPFC inhibition of the stress axis (Radley et al., 2009). Subsequent anatomical and functional studies support the contention that stress-inhibitory influences of the mPFC and vSUB converge onto a common relay in aBST.

Materials and Methods

Animals and treatments. Adult male Sprague Dawley albino rats, maintained under standard laboratory conditions, were used in all experiments. All experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of The Salk Institute. Restraint stress was performed in the morning (9:00 A.M.) in plastic restrainers (Braintree Scientific) for 30 min. Controls were handled comparably but were not restrained. All animals remained in their home cages during and after restraint until the prescribed time of perfusion for histology, 2 h after the termination of restraint.

Surgery. Axon-sparing excitotoxin lesions of vSUB were produced via bilateral pressure ejection of ibotenic acid (10 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) in sterile saline. Injections were placed via pressure ejection (World Precision Instruments) through micropipettes (10–20 μm inner diameter) to deliver 120–150 nl/side at the following stereotaxic coordinates, with the skull flat between bregma and lambda: anteroposterior, −5.50 mm; mediolateral, ±4.45 mm; dorsoventral, −7.00 mm from dura. The extent of damage was estimated by reconstruction from Nissl preparations (see Figs. 1, 2). In a separate experiment, unilateral excitotoxin lesions were made in either vSUB, mPFC, or in both structures on the same side of the brain. Excitotoxin lesions of mPFC were centered in the prelimbic area (PL), as previously described (Radley et al., 2006, 2009), as this region is the principal cortical subfield within mPFC responsible for imparting HPA-inhibitory influences during emotional stress. In sham-lesioned animals, a burr hole was drilled in the skull and dura was pierced in the location dorsal to lesion placements.

For retrograde labeling of PVH-projecting neurons, unilateral pressure injections of 2% Fluoro-Gold (FG) (Fluorochrome) (Schmued and Fallon, 1986) were made into PVH in volumes of 30–60 nl (anteroposterior, −1.30 mm; mediolateral, ±0.40 mm; dorsoventral, −7.2 mm from dura). Tracer placement and size of the volume of retrograde labeling following deposits into PVH were verified by comparison with previous experiments using iontophoretic injections into the same region (Radley et al., 2009). We and others have previously noted that discretely placed anterograde tracer (PHA-L) injections to the dorsomedial and fusiform aBST labels projections that preferentially innervate the parvicellular PVH (Dong et al., 2001; Dong and Swanson, 2006; Radley et al., 2009).

Anterograde tracing experiments involved one or more of the following (see Results): unilateral pressure ejection of 10% biotinylated dextran amine (BDA) (Sigma-Aldrich) into PL (anteroposterior, −2.90 mm; mediolateral, ±0.75 mm; dorsoventral, −3.50 mm from dura); unilateral pressure ejection of 10% BDA into anterior BST (centered within dorsomedial and fusiform subnuclei; anteroposterior, −0.25 mm; mediolateral, ±1.20 mm; dorsoventral, −7.20 mm from dura); unilateral iontophoretic injection of 10% Fluoro-Ruby (FR) (Invitrogen) into vSUB.

To selectively ablate GABAergic neurons in aBST, anti-GAT-1-saporin (a conjugate of the ribosomal toxin, saporin, with a rabbit polyclonal antibody that recognizes the an extracellular domain of the GABA transporter-1; Advanced Targeting Systems) was microinjected bilaterally (Radley et al., 2009). A stock solution (1.9 mg/ml) was diluted 1:2 in artificial CSF (aCSF) at pH 7.4. Injections were placed via micropipettes (10–20 μm, inner diameter) using pressure ejection (World Precision Instruments) to deliver 120 nl per side. Control lesions involved either injecting saporin conjugated to IgG, or the aCSF vehicle. The extent and specificity of damage was estimated by reconstruction from material hybridized for mRNAs encoding the 67 kDa isoform of the GABA-synthetic enzyme, glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD67), and CRF (Radley et al., 2009).

Histology and tissue processing. Rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused via the ascending aorta with 100 ml of 0.9% saline followed by 900 ml of ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M borate buffer, pH 9.5, at a flow rate of 55 ml/min. The brains were removed, postfixed for 3 h, and cryoprotected in 20% sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer overnight at 4°C. Five one-in-five series of 30-μm thick frozen coronal sections through the entire brain were cut and collected in cryoprotectant solution and stored at −20°C until processing.

Hybridization histochemistry. Techniques for probe synthesis, hybridization, and autoradiographic localization of mRNA signal were adapted from the study by Simmons et al. (1989). In situ hybridization was performed using 35S-labeled sense (control) and antisense cRNA probes labeled to similar specific activities encoding CRF mRNA (1.2 kb; Dr. K. Mayo, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL), and arginine vasopressin (AVP) heteronuclear RNA (hnRNA) (700 bp fragment from intron 1 of the rat vasopressin gene; Dr. T. Sherman, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA). Sections were mounted onto poly-l-lysine-coated slides and dried under vacuum overnight. They were postfixed with 10% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, digested with 10 μg/ml proteinase K for 15 min at 37°C, and acetylated for 10 min. Probes were labeled to specific activities of 1–3 × 105 dpm/μg and applied to the slides at concentrations of 10–50 μg/ml overnight at 56°C in a solution containing 50% formamide; 0.3 M NaCl; 10 μM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% tRNA, 10 μM dithiothreitol, 1 × Denhardt’s solution, and 10% dextran sulfate, after which they were treated with 20 μg/ml ribonuclease A for 30 min at 37°C and washed in 15 μl NaCl/1.5 mM sodium citrate with 30% formamide at 70°C. Slides were then dehydrated and exposed to x-ray films (Kodak Biomax MR; Eastman Kodak) for 18 h. They were coated with Kodak NTB-2 liquid emulsion and exposed at 4°C for 10–14 d, as determined by the strength of signal on film. Slides were developed with Kodak D-19 and fixed with Kodak rapid fixer, and lightly counterstained with thionin.

Immunohistochemistry. Localization of Fos protein and other antigens was performed on free-floating sections by using an avidin–biotin peroxidase protocol (Sawchenko et al., 1990). Fos immunolocalization was performed using a primary antiserum raised against a fragment of rat Fos protein (residues 4–17), synthesized by J. Rivier (The Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA) and previously characterized (Radley et al., 2008). Endogenous peroxidase was neutralized by treating tissue for 10 min with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide, and sections were incubated with primary antiserum at 4°C for 48 h in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and 3% blocking serum. The primary antiserum was localized using Vectastain Elite (Vector Laboratories) reagents, and the reaction product was developed using a nickel-enhanced glucose oxidase method (Shu et al., 1988). Dual immunoperoxidase labeling for Fos and FG immunoreactivity was performed by sequentially localizing the antiserum against Fos using a nickel-enhanced diaminobenzidine method (black nuclear reaction product), as above, and then a FG antiserum (Chang et al., 1990), without nickel enhancement (brown cytoplasmic product). Specificity of the Fos antiserum was evaluated by direct colabeling for c-fos mRNA over a range of challenge conditions (data not shown). In addition, specific staining in experimental and control tissue was abolished by preadsorbing the antiserum overnight at 4°C with 50 μg of the synthetic peptide immunogen.

In tracing experiments involving BDA, some series of sections were processed using the avidin–biotin peroxidase procedure as described above. For fluorescence labeling of BDA, sections were incubated overnight in the streptavidin–fluorescein conjugate, Alexa 488 (Invitrogen), at a concentration of 2 μg/ml. In instances in which fluorescence immunochemical labeling of Fos was desired (i.e., in conjunction with tracers bearing native fluorescence or BDA fluorescence labeling), sections were...
incubated overnight in a goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa 488 dye (Invitrogen) at a concentration of 4 μg/ml.

Data analysis. Stereological methods were used to quantify the number of Fos-immunoreactive neurons. These analyses were performed using a computer-assisted morphometry system consisting of a confocal microscope equipped with a XYZ computer-controlled motorized stage, a MicroFire camera (Optronics), a Gateway computer, and StereoInvestigator morphometry and stereology software (MBF Biosciences). For each analysis, boundaries defining the regions of interest were drawn at 25× using an adjacent series of Nissl-stained sections. In regions identified as PVH sections (i.e., retrogradely labeled following FG injection in PVH), labeled cells were used as a guide to further aid the delineation of anatomical boundaries. Analyses of Fos-immunoreactive cells were performed on every fifth section, avoiding cells in the outermost plane of focus. Counts were then multiplied by 5 to estimate the total number of labeled neurons in the defined region of interest. Volume estimates from cross-sectional area measurements were obtained using the Cavalieri method to probe for possible treatment effects on PVH volume, but no reliable effects were observed.

Semi-quantitative densitometric analysis of relative levels of CRF mRNA was performed on emulsion-coated slides using ImageJ software. The optical densities of hybridization signals were determined under dark-field illumination at 10× magnification. The hypophysiotropic PVH (i.e., dorsal medial parvicellular subdivision) was defined from Nissl-staining pattern (Swanson and Kuypers, 1980) and aligned with corresponding dark-field images of hybridized sections by redirected sampling. Optical density readings, corrected for background, were taken at regular, 150 μm intervals, and average values were determined through the extent of this cell group for each animal. Images from CRF mRNA densitometry were collected using a Hamamatsu Orca CCD camera under the control of OpenLab software (version 3.1.5). Images collected from each analysis were exported first to Adobe Photoshop (version 7) for adjustments to optimize/balance contrast and brightness, and then to Canvs (version 8) for assembly and labeling.

Analysis of AVP hnRNA expression in the PVH was performed by counting the number of small-diameter nuclei (i.e., <10 μm) in the medial parvicellular subdivision that were overlain by reduced silver grains (more than three grains per nucleus) (Kovacs et al., 2000). Scattered ectopic magnocellular neurosecretory neurons within the parvicellular division of PVH were recognized on Nissl-counterstained material under bright-field illumination and were excluded from the analysis on the basis of nuclear diameter. Analysis of material containing multiple fluorescent markers was examined using a Leica SP2 confocal laser-scanning microscope, and markers were scanned sequentially, using excitation/emission settings for FG and Alexa dyes that were non-overlapping. Digital reconstructions of multiple fluorescence labeling for tracer and/or Fos-immunolabeled material were acquired using a 63× oil objective (NA 1.4) with a zoom of 2×, in 0.75 μm z-steps throughout the entire extent of 30 μm sections. The criterion for asserting colocalization of Fos within retrogradely labeled neurons required that FG-labeled nuclei be ensconced within FG-labeled perikarya within a single z-plane. Analyses of potential synaptic contacts involved determination of appositions (i.e., defined by the absence of unlabeled pixels between anterogradely labeled axonal and retrogradely labeled somatic/dendritic elements by examining confocal images within a single optical sections throughout each stack).

Statistics. Grouped data from the immunoperoxidase and hybridization histochemical analyses (n = 4–5 per group) were compared using Student’s t test when two groups were compared (sham plus stress, lesion plus stress); a one-way ANOVA for lesion and treatment (sham plus stress, mPFC lesion plus stress, vSUB lesion plus stress, double lesion plus stress) status followed by post hoc pairwise comparisons using a Bonferroni correction. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM.

Results

vSUB lesion placements

The placement and extent of vSUB lesions were evaluated (Fig. 1A) with reference to its established cytoarchitectonic features (Rose, 1929; Lorente de Nó, 1934). Based on preliminary studies involving dye injections, single injections of relatively small volumes of ibotenic acid (150 nl) were used to provide a balance between maximally ablation vSUB, while sparing adjacent cortical structures. Nonetheless, these injections typically spared the most caudal portions of vSUB, while spread of the lesion was typically observed in the most ventral portion of CA1, presubiculum, parasubiculum, and deep layers of the entorhinal cortex (Fig. 1A).

Effects of vSUB lesions on central indices of stress-induced HPA activation

We initially surveyed the effects of vSUB lesions on central indices of HPA activation at 2 h after a single 30 min exposure to restraint stress, a time point at which induced Fos protein expression is maximal in the hypothalamus and basal forebrain, and which is also sufficient for observing acute stress-induced alterations in CRF mRNA in the PVH (Viau and Sawchenko, 2002). Relative to sham-operated controls, vSUB-lesioned rats subjected to acute restraint displayed a nearly twofold increase (94%; p < 0.05) in the number of Fos-labeled cells in PVH, which were concentrated in the CRF-rich hypophysiotropic zone [medial parvicellular subdivision (mpd)] (Fig. 1B–D) (N = 5 per group). Stress-induced expression of CRF mRNA in PVH was also enhanced (by 22%; p < 0.05) following vSUB lesions (Fig. 1E–G). These findings are in line with previous work demonstrating that vSUB lesions augment emotional stress-induced PVH/HPA activation (Herman et al., 1995, 1998).

While CRF is the principal ACTH secretagogue required for HPA activation and imparts a stimulatory tone on the axis (Rivier et al., 1982), AVP can be situationally expressed in the same population of hypophysiotropic PVH neurons and is capable of acting as a cosecretagogue by potentiating the actions of CRF on ACTH release during stress (Rivier and Vale, 1983; Plotsky, 1991). Basal levels of expression of AVP hnRNA in PVH parvicellular neurons are low to undetectable but can be upregulated through at least 1.5–2 h after stress (Priou et al., 1993; Kovacs and Sawchenko, 1996; Ma et al., 1997). Thus, we explored the possibility that vSUB lesions may enhance stress-induced transcriptional activation of AVP in the parvicellular PVH at 2 h after restraint. Following acute restraint exposure, vSUB lesions resulted in a 61% increase in the number of parvicellular neurons in PVH that displayed AVP hnRNA signals, compared with sham-lesioned animals (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1H–J). By contrast, no significant between-group differences were observed in levels of hybridizable AVP hnRNA throughout the magnocellular division of PVH (data not shown), consistent with previous reports that AVP transcription in these neurons is unresponsive to emotional stress (Herman et al., 1995).

It should be noted that the foregoing design did not include unstimulated control groups, as our previous work has verified that stress produces significant increases in PVH indices of HPA activation (Radley et al., 2006, 2009). Moreover, others have reported a failure of vSUB lesions to reliably alter basal indices of HPA activation (Herman et al., 1998; Herman and Mueller, 2006).

Effects of vSUB lesions on stress-induced activation of GABAergic PVH-projecting cell groups

vSUB has been previously identified as a, if not the, major source of extrinsic HPA-inhibitory projections emanating from the HF (Herman et al., 1998; Herman and Mueller, 2006). The fact that vSUB does not provide substantial direct input to PVH, proper, indicates that its influences are relayed by one or more interposed cell group(s) (Cullinan et al., 1993). Instead, the extrinsic projec-
Bilateral injections of ibotenic acid centered in vSUB enhance restraint-induced central indices of HPA activation. A, Reconstruction of ibotenic acid lesion placements in vSUB. The solid red areas represent the region of damage common to all members of each group, and outlines show the extent of individual lesions, as defined by the absence of Nissl-stained neurons in sham-lesioned animals. Cell loss was most prominent in the anterior portions of vSUB and spread into adjoining ventral aspects of hippocampus, proper. Atlas plates are adapted from Swanson (1992); distance in millimeters relative to bregma is indicated. CA1, CA1 field; CA3, CA3 field; gcl, granule cell layer, dentate gyrus; vSUB, ventral subiculum. Bright-field and dark-field photomicrographs illustrate restraint-induced upregulation of Fos (B, C), CRF mRNA (E, F), and AVP hnRNA (H, I) expression in the PVH of animals bearing excitotoxin lesions of vSUB, relative to sham controls. Histograms (D, G, J) show corresponding mean ± SEM for number of Fos-immunoreactive (ir) neurons (top), relative levels of CRF mRNA (middle), and AVP hnRNA-labeled parvicellular neurons (bottom) in PVH in treatment groups. *p < 0.05, differs significantly from sham-lesioned controls. n = 5 per group. Scale bars: 150 μm (applies to all).
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Figure 1.
injections in PVH ($n = 6$). Histochemical visualization of transported BDA revealed a moderately dense array of labeled fibers and varicosities throughout aBST subdivisions implicated in vSUB modulation of HPA output, most notably in the dorsomedial, dorsolateral, and magnocellular nuclei. Less dense terminal fields were identified in the anteroventral and anterolateral divisions, and the fusiform and subcommissural subnuclei of aBST. It is noteworthy that, while vSUB issues massive projections to the anterior and ventromedial hypothalamic nuclei, the PVH receives scant, if any, direct input (Fig. 5A–C).

Table 1. Effect of vSUB lesions on functional activation in PVH-projecting cell groups following acute restraint stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PVH-projecting cell groups</th>
<th>No. Fos- plus FG-labeled cells</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>vSUB lesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vicinity of PVH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior hypothalamic area</td>
<td>73 ± 23</td>
<td>78 ± 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior PVH</td>
<td>23 ± 5</td>
<td>8 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peri-supraoptic area</td>
<td>53 ± 16</td>
<td>58 ± 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penifornical area</td>
<td>30 ± 6</td>
<td>40 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zona incerta</td>
<td>63 ± 8</td>
<td>60 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other regions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, anterior division</td>
<td>130 ± 14</td>
<td>83 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsomedial hypothalamus</td>
<td>110 ± 29</td>
<td>98 ± 10*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial preoptic area</td>
<td>112 ± 31</td>
<td>173 ± 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent mean ± SEM for counts made within each region. Asterisk indicates significance (*p < 0.05).
Per group. Scale bars: 42/133 neurons displaying a GABAergic phenotype (arrows).

Labeling for FG, following tracer injections in PVH, show numerous instances of PVH-projecting sections through aBST prepared for dual localization of GAD67 mRNA with immunoperoxidase magnification dark-field photomicrograph of GAD67 mRNA in aBST.

(Fig. 5). Labeled axonal elements from vSUB and PVH-projecting neurons in aBST that comprise a GABAergic relay for imposing mPFC (no-possibly the PL) inhibition of the stress axis (Radley et al., 2009). This raises the possibility that HF and mPFC influences may converge onto a common population of aBST neurons, though in view of the extensive interconnectivity among limbic forebrain structures, a serial processing arrangement cannot be discounted. Therefore, we sought to determine whether these cortical limbic influences are organized in a serial or convergent manner.

We first evaluated a possible anatomical basis for convergence of projections from vSUB and PL onto PVH-projecting neurons in aBST. Pressure injections of the anterograde tracers BDA and FR were placed in PL and vSUB, respectively, in combination with retrograde tracer injection of FG in PVH (n = 12) (Fig. 6 A–C). Analysis of overlap of terminal fields emanating from PL and vSUB revealed extensive intermingling throughout aBST, notably among PVH-projecting neurons clustered within the fusiform and dorsomedial nuclei (Fig. 6D). Nonetheless, some subtle differences were noted between PL and vSUB innervation patterns, consistent with their different origins within the limbic forebrain and trajectories for reaching aBST. Therefore, some bias of PL innervation was evident in more lateral and ventral portions of aBST (i.e., fusiform nucleus), whereas terminal fields from vSUB were slightly more prominent in anterior and medial aspects of aBST (i.e., dorsomedial nucleus), particularly in regions proximal to the anterior commissure. Confocal microscopic analysis in aBST of four animals judged to have appropriately placed injections in all three regions, revealed numerous examples of close appositions of labeled axonal elements from vSUB and/or PL onto PVH-projecting neurons. Approximately equal proportions of retrogradely labeled neurons were apposed by terminals labeled from PL (53%) and vSUB (47%), and 17% received inputs from both regions.

In two follow-up experiments, quantitative assessments addressed the question of whether elements of this HPA-modulatory network exhibit stress sensitivity. First, animals were subjected to a single acute restraint episode, 1 week after receiving multiple tracer injections (as above), and perfused 2 h later. Examples were noted of functionally activated PVH-projecting neurons in aBST that were apposed by labeled axonal elements from either PL (66 ± 12 Fos plus FG neurons) or vSUB (59 ± 10 Fos plus FG neurons) (Fig. 6E, F). By proportion, 16 and 15% of all Fos plus FG neurons in aBST received appositions from PL or vSUB inputs, respectively.

In a second experiment, functional activation of aBST-projecting neurons in PL and vSUB were examined in animals subjected to a single restraint session (FG injections were placed in aBST; n = 5) (Fig. 7A). Although HF is not prominently regarded as among the more stress-sensitive regions of the limbic forebrain (Li and Sawchenko, 1998), an abundance of pyramidal neurons in vSUB colocalized Fos and tracer (289 ± 33) (Fig. 7B). Numerous instances of Fos activation were also observed in retrogradely labeled neurons in the more rostral aspects of PL (245 ± 62) (Fig. 7C). Stress-sensitive aBST-projecting neurons were confined to laminae V and VI, as previously described (Spencer et al., 2005). Collectively, these experiments suggest that stress-induced functional activation of aBST-projecting neurons in both vSUB and PL are in a position to participate in HPA modulation via a common aBST (GABA) → PVH pathway.

Functional evaluation of convergence

Having provided anatomical evidence for PL and vSUB utilization of a common relay in aBST, we next evaluated the functional relevance of this arrangement. First, we compared the effects of lesions of vSUB and/or PL on central indices of stress-induced

Convergence of vSUB and PL projections on aBST

We previously identified a subset of PVH-projecting neurons in aBST that comprise a GABAergic relay for imposing mPFC (no-
HPA activation. A serial organization would predict that neither single lesion would exert any greater effects than dual ablations, whereas with convergent influences, additivity of single lesion effects would be expected.

Groups of rats received unilateral excitotoxin lesion placements in PL, vSUB, both structures, or sham lesions (Fig. 8A). After 2 week survival, all groups were subjected to 30 min restraint and perfused 2 h later. Comparison of CRF mRNA expression in PVH revealed an overall significant effect as a function of treatment (p < 0.001) (Fig. 8B–E). Individual comparisons in groups of animals receiving unilateral lesions showed only vSUB lesions resulted in a statistically significant increase (24%) relative to sham-operated controls (p < 0.01; p = 0.09 in PL-lesioned, compared with sham-lesioned groups). Nonetheless, combined lesions of vSUB and PL resulted in an even greater enhancement of stress-induced CRF mRNA expression in PVH (by 38%; p < 0.001), and also relative to animals receiving lesions of PL or vSUB alone (p < 0.05 for each).

Analysis of stress-induced AVP hnRNA expression in PVH revealed main effects of treatment (p = 0.01). Individual comparisons in groups of animals receiving unilateral lesions of either PL or vSUB were elevated by 43 and 74%, respectively (p < 0.05 for each), relative to the sham-lesioned group. Combined lesions of vSUB and PL resulted in a greater enhancement of stress-induced transcriptional activation of AVP hnRNA in PVH relative to the sham-lesioned group (by 164%; p < 0.01) and also relative to animals receiving single lesions of either PL (by 50%) or vSUB (by 33%). However, the effect of double lesions to PL and vSUB differed reliably from only the PL-lesioned group (p < 0.05 vs p = 0.10 for the double vs vSUB lesion comparison) (Fig. 8F–J). Collectively, these results are consistent with the idea that vSUB and PL influences are relayed independently, consistent with the idea that they converge onto aBST neurons for imparting additive modulatory influences on HPA output during emotional stress.

In a final experiment, HPA secretory responses before and after 30 min restraint stress were compared in separate groups of animals bearing either sham lesions (N = 6), bilateral excitotoxin lesions of vSUB (N = 6), or bilateral immunotoxin lesions of GABAergic neurons in aBST (N = 6). For the latter group, we used an immunotoxin, antiGAT-1-sap (antiserum to the GABA transporter, GAT-1, coupled to the ribosomal toxin, saporin) that we previously found capable of ablating GABAergic (i.e., GAT-1 and GAD67 mRNA-expressing) neurons in aBST, while largely sparing intermingled neurons of non-GABAergic phenotypes (Radley et al., 2009). In our previous evaluation of antiGAT-1-sap (Radley et al., 2009), we found that effective evaluation of lesion specificity derived from comparison of effects on relative levels of GAD67 and CRF mRNA expression in adjacent series of sections through the aBST. A non-neuroendocrine population of CRF-expressing neurons is well documented to reside within the fusiform and dorsomedial subdivisions of aBST (Day et al., 1999; Dong et al., 2001) and is also implicated in modulating HPA axis output (Choi et al., 2007). Densitometric analyses

**Figure 5.** Tracing studies support aBST mediation of vSUB influences on PVH. Top row, Bright- and dark-field photomicrographs showing a large deposit of the anterograde tracer, BDA, centered in vSUB (A), and anterogradely labeled fibers and terminals in BSTfu/dm (B), and the PVH region (C), following such injections. dp, Dorsal parvicellular zone (PVH); mp, medial parvicellular zone (PVH); pm, posterior magnocellular zone (PVH); pm, subcommissural nucleus (BST). Bottom row, Confocal images of dual fluorescence preparations show overlap between vSUB inputs and PVH-projecting neurons in aBST. Animals receiving BDA injections in vSUB (D) and Fluoro-Gold injections in PVH (E) show an intermixing of axonal labeling in aBST with neurons that project to PVH (F). F, Digital reconstruction of dual fluorescence labeling of BDA and FG in aBST. The arrows point to examples where terminals were found to be in close apposition with retrogradely labeled elements in single optical planes containing fluorescence labeling for both markers. v3, Third ventricle. Scale bars: A, 1 mm; B, 300 μm; C, 250 μm; D, 500 μm; E, 250 μm; F, 100 μm; G, 30 μm.
revealed a significant decrease (by 67%; \( p < 0.05 \)) in GAD67 mRNA in aBST following GAT-1-sap injections, but no effect on CRF mRNA expression in the affected region (\( p = 0.7 \)). Reconstructions of vSUB excitotoxin and aBST immunotoxin lesions are provided in Figure 9A.

Mixed-design ANOVA of ACTH data, with time of blood sampling treated as a within-subjects factor, demonstrated main effects of lesion status (\( p < 0.05 \)) and time (\( p < 0.0001 \)), as well as a significant interaction between these variables (\( p < 0.001 \)). Within-group measures revealed significant increases in peak values of ACTH immediately after the termination of restraint (30 min; \( p < 0.05 \) for each). All values were restored to prestress levels by 60 min, except for those of immunotoxin-lesioned animals (60 min; \( p < 0.05 \)), which remained elevated until 90 min after the initiation of restraint. In vSUB and immunotoxin-lesioned groups, peak levels of plasma ACTH were significantly greater than those of sham-lesioned animals (by 2.2- and 2.4-fold, respectively) immediately following the termination of restraint.

Figure 6. Anatomical evidence for convergence for vSUB and PL projections in aBST. A–C, Representative placements of retrograde tracer (FG) injections in PVH (cyan), and anterograde tracers BDA and FR in PL (green) and vSUB (red), respectively. D, The overlap of all three tracers was evaluated in fluorescence preparations using confocal microscopy. Instances of BDA- (arrows) and FR-labeled terminals (arrowhead) were found to make appositions onto single PVH-projecting neurons in aBST, by analysis of single optical planes containing fluorescence labeling for all three markers. E, F, After a single restraint stress exposure, numerous instances of Fos-immunoreactive nuclei were evident in PVH-projecting neurons containing appositions from BDA- (left) and FR-labeled (right) terminals. Scale bars: A–C, 250 \( \mu \)m; D, 150 \( \mu \)m; E, 10 \( \mu \)m; F, 5 \( \mu \)m.

Figure 7. aBST-projecting neurons in vSUB and PL are stress sensitive. Retrograde tracer injections of FG in aBST (A) reveal extensive labeling of neurons in the principal cell layer in vSUB (B). Many of these neurons also show restraint-induced Fos immunoreactivity (arrows). sp, Stratum pyramidale; sr, stratum radiatum. In the same animals, colocalization of FG and Fos (C) is also seen in PL (arrows), particularly in deeper layers (indicated by roman numerals). Scale bars: A, 250 \( \mu \)m; B, 100 \( \mu \)m; B’, 10 \( \mu \)m; C, 150 \( \mu \)m.
straint (30 min; p < 0.05 for each); however, these values did not differ reliably from one another (p = 0.7) (Fig. 9B).

Plasma corticosterone data showed similar main effects of lesion status (p < 0.001) and time (p < 0.0001). Whereas peak levels of plasma corticosterone were significantly elevated in each group at the termination of restraint (30 min; p < 0.05 for each), there were no significant differences as a function of lesion status (p = 0.9). Nonetheless, plasma corticosterone levels in the aBST immunotoxin-lesioned group was significantly elevated compared with vSUB and sham-lesioned groups (p < 0.05, for each) (Fig. 9C). Moreover, within-group analyses revealed that animals with aBST immunotoxin lesions showed a more protracted corticosterone response, displaying reliable elevations relative to baseline values through 90 min, compared with 60 min for vSUB and sham-lesioned animals. Basal plasma levels of ACTH and corticosterone showed a mild, albeit consistent, elevation in the aBST immunotoxin-lesioned group, compared with vSUB and sham-lesioned groups (p < 0.05, respectively). These data provide evidence that aBST plays a more prominent HPA-inhibitory role than vSUB, supporting the possibility that the former may serve as a clearinghouse for multiple limbic forebrain influences over the stress axis.

Discussion

Here, we have applied a strategy used previously to delineate candidate intermediaries providing for mPFC inhibition of HPA responses to acute emotional stress to elucidate the circuitry providing for a parallel involvement of another limbic forebrain structure, the HF (specifically vSUB). The results implicate an HPA-inhibitory role for GABAergic neurons of the aBST in both contexts. Anatomical tracing studies performed in experimental settings support the existence of stress-sensitive, aBST-projecting neurons in vSUB, whose projections to aBST commingle with those of mPFC and provide convergent inputs to at least some identified PVH-projecting neurons. Functional experiments support additive effects of mPFC and vSUB lesions on central and hormonal indices of stress-induced HPA output. Collectively, these data endorse the idea that the aBST receives and integrates convergent input from HF and mPFC for the inhibitory control of emotional stress-induced HPA activity.

Neural pathways subserving HF HPA-inhibitory influences

Work done over the past several decades supports the involvement of the HF in both tonic and phasic negative-feedback regulation of the HPA axis (Feldman and Conforti, 1980; Sapolsky et al., 1984; Kovács and Makara, 1988; Herman et al., 1989; Jacobson and Sapolsky, 1991). Thus, damage to hippocampus or fimbria/fornix produces exaggerated stress-induced HPA responses (Feldman and Conforti, 1980; Sapolsky et al., 1984; Herman et al., 1989). While previous studies tended to focus on the dorsal hippocampus as the principal seat of HPA axis regulation, Herman et al. (1995) directed attention to the ventral hippocampus, notably vSUB, in this context. Much of the rationale for this shift in emphasis derived from anatomical evidence that vSUB is the

Figure 8. Additive effects of unilateral excitotoxin lesions of PL and vSUB on central indices of stress-induced HPA activation. A, Reconstruction of lesion placements in groups of rats bearing unilateral excitotoxin injections in vSUB (right), PL (middle), and both sites (i.e., “Dbl. lesion”) (left). The solid areas of red represent the region of damage common to all members of each group, and outlines the extent of individual lesions as determined, in reconstructions based on Nissl material. Atlas plates are adapted from those of Swanson (1992); distance in millimeters relative to bregma is indicated. ACd, Anterior cingulate area, dorsal part; ACv, anterior cingulate area, ventral part; IL, infralimbic area; PL, prelimbic area; vSUB, ventral subiculum. Dark-field photomicrographs show representative examples of CRF mRNA (B–E) and AVP hnRNA (F–I) expression in PVH as a function of lesion status. J, Mean ± SEM relative levels of CRF mRNA expression (open bars) and number of medial parvicellular neurons in PVH displaying AVP hnRNA signal (black bars) in various treatment groups. All lesioned groups show significant increases in central measures of restraint-induced HPA activation, with the responses of animals bearing double lesions (of PL and vSUB) being reliably greater than those of the PL-lesioned group. *p < 0.05, differs significantly from the sham-lesioned group; †p < 0.05, differs significantly from dual-lesioned animals. n = 4–5 per group. Scale bar: 150 μm (applies to all).
major source of subcortical projections that target hypothalamic and adjoining basal forebrain cell groups that innervate the PVH (Cullinan et al., 1993), which are implicated in regulating HPA activity (Cullinan et al., 1993; 1996; Roland and Sawchenko, 1993; Boudaba et al., 1996). More recent evidence has led to a clearer appreciation that hippocampal function is differentiated in a dorsal-ventral (or septal-temporal) manner, with dorsal and ventral HF being preferentially involved in memory-related and affective functions, respectively (Moser and Moser, 1998; Kjelstrup et al., 2002; Dong et al., 2010). This view is consistent with the notion supported here that ventral HF is an important modulator of stress-induced HPA activity.

While uncertainty has remained as to the specific target(s) of vSUB outputs that mediate its HPA-modulatory effects, the present results implicate PVH-projecting GABAergic neurons in aBST as providing such a relay. After verifying that excitotoxin lesions of vSUB (right) showed a reliable diminution of stress-induced function (Fos expression) following vSUB lesions, and colocalization experiments identified this same population of neurons as predominantly GABAergic. We found previously that PVH-projecting neurons in aBST that reside within vSUB terminal fields in aBST and PVH-projecting neurons, and that neurons in this circuit (i.e., aBST-projecting vSUB neurons, PVH-projecting neurons in aBST that reside within vSUB terminal fields) exhibit restraint stress sensitivity. This does not preclude an involvement of other pathways from vSUB, particularly more diffuse pathways that may access aBST or that could bypass...
shown that exposure to any of a range of acute emotional stressors activates a stereotyped and highly interconnected set of cell groups in the limbic forebrain and associated cortical structures (Cullen et al., 1995; Campeau et al., 1997; Li and Sawchenko, 1998; Dayas et al., 2001) (Fig. 10). While a good deal is known about how individual components affect stress-induced HPA output (Diorio et al., 1993; Herman et al., 1995, 2003; Bhatnagar and Dallman, 1998; Dayas et al., 2001; Radley et al., 2006), the overall organization of the network with respect to how its influences are imposed on the PVH has remained elusive. The present study provides evidence that a relatively discrete GABAergic cell group in aBST intercedes for at least two of these influences (i.e., mPFC and HF) on CRF-expressing HPA effector neurons in PVH. In support of this conclusion, we find that (1) aBST-projecting cell groups in PL and vSUB, and PVH-projecting GABAergic neurons in aBST, are each responsive to a prototypic emotional stressor; (2) single versus dual lesion studies support an additivity of HF and mPFC stress-inhibitory influences on central indices of HPA activation, consistent with the idea that their influences are summated at aBST; (3) immunotoxin ablation of aBST GABAergic neurons results in a more profound enhancement of emotional stress-induced HPA output than do lesions of one upstream component of the stress-inhibitory network. Moreover, despite the fact that the mPFC and HF are interconnected (e.g., via the entorhinal cortex) (Swanson and Kohler, 1986; Vertes, 2004), the additivity of effects observed after combined versus separate lesions of these regions do not support a serial processing arrangement underlying their modulatory effects on emotional stress-induced HPA output. While it remains to be determined how generalized a convergent organization may be, the available connectivity data indicate that many, if not all, limbic forebrain sites that modulate HPA function may function cooperatively via their innervation of aBST.

An important next step will be to determine the extent to which the aBST may serve a general role in integrating excitatory (e.g., from the amygdala) and inhibitory influences [from PL, vSUB, septum, posterior paraventricular thalamic nucleus (PVTp)] over the stress axis by way of aBST-GABAergic inputs to the PVH (Fig. 10). Such an examination is warranted, based on the lack of any substantial direct innervation of PVH from these limbic forebrain cell groups, and the fact that each projects to the aBST (Shin et al., 2008). That the aBST also receives inputs from most hypothalamic and brainstem structures implicated in stress-induced HPA activation (Shin et al., 2008) may indicate an even broader role in the integrative control of axis output during emotional stress. Another lingering issue derives from evidence that several nodes of the limbic forebrain network (e.g., septum, HF, mPFC, PVTp) are implicated as sites for GC receptor-mediated feedback control of HPA output (Dobrakovova et al., 1982; Sapolsky et al., 1984; Diorio et al., 1993; Jafferi and Bhatnagar, 2006), raising the possibility that aBST may also serve as a clearinghouse for this function. Additional work is required to dissect the extent to which the ostensibly distributed feedback effects arising from GC actions at these limbic forebrain sites may be exerted through common intermediaries, such as aBST, or via multiple parallel paths.
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